

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,248	01/25/2005	Yasuo Hino	4578-0113PUS1	8811	
	7590 01 <i>1241</i> 200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747	CII VA 22040 0747	ROBERTS, LEZAH			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
HINO ET AL.	
Art Unit	-
1614	
	HINO ET AL.  Art Unit

20.0.09	Examiner	ALLOIN	
	Lezah W. Roberts	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba īdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	oliance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	filed within two month	se of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocauso
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•		ecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		12 30.011),	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	•
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,6 and 9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidar	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		1
13. Other:		11.000	2/1/2
		Lech Kolen	till
·	·	10 Roberts Patent Exmr. AU 1614	F. Krass Primary Exmr. Al 1614
	_	- · · ·	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims appear to introduce new matter. A range of greater than 10% to 15% is new matter because it is not specifically supported by the specification. The phrase "greater than" is not disclosed in the specification or the original claims. Furthermore, although the phrase "greater than" includes numbers above 10, which is encompassed by the range, the phrase was not previous used and excludes 10 which is supported by the specification. The phrase also includes 11% etc., which is not specifically disclosed as a value used in the compositions.